May, Volume 10, Number 2, Pages 184 - 191 https://doi.org/10.5555/ZDZG8650 http://www.ijbst.fuotuoke.edu.ng/ ISSN 2488-8648



Mineral Acids in Chloroform Solution of 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4-Trichloroacetyl 5-pyrazolone (HTCP) Schiff Base: Abstraction Effect on Uranium (VI)

^{*1}Bennett, V, ²Sangoremi, A.A.

Abstract

University of Africa, Toru-Orua, Nigeria, Department of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Basic and Applied Sciences

²Department of Chemistry, Federal University, Otuoke, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

Article Information

Article # 10032 Received: 4th April. 2024 1st Revision: 20th April. 2024 2nd Revision: 3rd May 2024 Acceptance:8th May 2024 Available online: 15th May 2024.

Key Words Chloroform, chloride, Abstraction, Acetate Sulphate ions Mineral acids Abstraction

A Chloroform solution of 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4-Trichloroacetyl 5-pyrazolone (HTCP) as a medium for the abstraction of UO_2^{2+} was performed to examine the synergetic effect of mineral acids on the mode of distribution. The study was performed using solvent-solvent extraction method with 1 x 10⁻³, 5 x 10⁻³, 1 x 10⁻², 5 x 10⁻², 1 x 10⁻¹, and 5 x 10⁻¹ M liquid phases of CH₃COOH, HCl, and H₂SO₄ respectively. The solutions were manually agitated for 30 mins, and the extraction raffinates collected, and analyzed using colorimetry. Ratios of distribution (D) and percentages extraction (% E) were analysed statistically. Results obtained showed that the interference of chloride, acetate and sulphate ions was more conspicuous as the mineral acid concentrations got greater than $1 \ge 10^{-2}$ M. There was an optimum % abstraction of 94. 71, 91.63 and 46.26 %, at 1 x 10⁻³, 1 x 10⁻² and 1 M CH₃COOH. Further, there was an optimum % abstraction of 80.62, 77.97, and 38.77 % at 1 x 10⁻ ³, 1 x 10⁻², and 1 M HCl. A gradient of 1 was obtained with CH₃COOH and HCl, indicating a displacement of 1 proton during the reaction. An optimum % abstraction of 52.86 and 41.85 % at 1 x 10^{-3} and 1 x 10^{-2} M H₂SO₄ were achieved. A gradient of 2 was obtained statistically, indicating a displacement of 2H⁺. 1M SO₄²⁻ concentration showed no abstraction at the concentrations studied. Overall, the results indicate that CH₃COOH, HCl and H₂SO₄ are only efficient abstraction media of Uranium metal ion at low concentrations.

*Corresponding Author: Bennett, V^{:;} <u>victoria.bennett@uat.edu.ng</u>

Introduction

Increasing pursuance for unadulterated products and eco-friendlier processing pathways for abstracting heavy metals from the environment has prompted various abstraction methods (Nugraha et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2005). Liquid-liquid extraction is one method that has recorded tremendous success in the abstraction of heavy metals (Murdoch, 2010). In liquid-liquid extraction, an analyte is distributed between two immiscible, organic and liquid phases (Jrose, 2001; Singh, 2002; Ashall, 2007). Liquidliquid extraction is influenced by factors such as equilibration time, pH of liquid phase, concentration of ligand, presence of masking agents, presence of salting out agents, oxidation state of metal and type of solvent used (Rashid and Ejar, 1985; Okafor and Uzuokwu, 1990; Mhaske and Dhadke, 2001; Godwin and Young, 2020).

Schiff bases and their derivatives have been synthesized and applied successfully in solvent-solvent extraction of metals (Zainab *et al.*, 2014; Ramhari *et al.*, 2023; Zyadanogullaris *et al.*, 2008). Sulfamethoxazole-based Schiff base was successfully synthesized and characterized by Hussain *et al.*

(2014). <u>Kołodyńska</u> (2013) and Azra *et al.* (2022) affirmed the effectiveness of different ligands and chelates for the abstraction of heavy metal ions at different pH, equilibration time and concentrations. Studies further affirmed that reagents used for the abstraction can have either salting out or masking effect at various concentrations (Watanabe *et al.*, 2001).

Cd (II) chloride and Fe (III) were successfully separated by a Schiff base (Liu et al., 2007). The synergetic effect of HBuP in the distribution of Cadmium between buffered aqueous solution and chloroform solution of H2BuEtP was studied by Godwin and Tella (2017). Quantitative extraction of Thorium and other metal ions from Schiff bases was reported by Zoubi (2013). Zoubi et al. (2016) studied the abstraction of chromium and copper with a Schiff base and reported that Cu²⁺ showed highest abstraction and selectivity at pH 6.27. Biologically and analytically the usefulness of Schiff bases and derivatives had been reported (Ebosie et al., 2021). Bennett and Ayawei (2022) studied the effect of nitric acid on the distribution of UO_2^{2+} with HTCP. They

May, Volume 10, Number 2, Pages 184 - 191 https://doi.org/10.5555/ZDZG8650

reported an efficient % extraction (%E) at the various acid concentrations. Khoutoul et al. (2016) investigated the abstraction of metal ions with 1,2,4triazole Schiff base derivatives. They reported a high extraction selectivity of Fe²⁺ (90.1 %) and Pb²⁺ (94.3 %). Godwin and Bennett (2022) studied the role of acids in multi-metal distributions using H2BuEtP and reported that only 0.01- 0.05 M H₃PO₄ showed efficient % E at pH 7.5

The current study examines the abstraction of UO_2^{2+} with three mineral acids in a chloroform solution of HTCP Schiff base.

Materials and Methods

Analytical-grade reagents were purchased and used without further purification. HTCP was synthesized and characterized using the method reported in the literature (Bennett et al., 2020). Roughly 108 abstraction bottles (10 mL) were used for the study. These were arranged in nine sets for the three acids (CH₃COOH, HCl and H₂SO₄). Molar concentrations of the acids were in the range 1×10^{-3} – 1 M.

5 x 10⁻² M HTCP stock solution was prepared. 2000 mg/L metal stock solution was prepared as described in the literature (Bennett and Ayawei, 2022). The working concentration of the aqueous phase was 50 mg/L. The liquid phase was prepared by transferring 0.2 mL of 2000 mg/L UO2²⁺ stock into fifty-four of the 10 mL abstraction bottles and the volumes made up to 2 mL from stock solutions of the acids of different molar concentrations (1 x 10^{-2} -1 M).

http://www.ijbst.fuotuoke.edu.ng/ **ISSN 2488-8648**

2 mL of the organic phase, HTCP in chloroform was transferred into each of the abstraction bottles and agitated for 30 mins. 1 mL of the raffinates was withdrawn from each of the abstraction bottles and transferred to fifty-four (54) clean abstraction bottles and analysed using a UV Spectrophotometer at 520 nm. The potentiometric titration method was used in determining the pKa of the base (Godwin and Uzoukwu, 2012; Godwin and Bennett, 2022). UV Spectrophotometer was used to determine the amount of UO_2^{2+} in the liquid phase.

Results and Discussions

CH₃COOH: About 10⁻² M solutions of CH₃COOH showed no abstraction of the metal ion, UO2²⁺. Nonextraction was ascribed to non-extractable acetateuranyl complex formation at this molar concentration as depicted in equations (1) and (2).

 UO_2^{2+} + CH₃COOH \rightarrow (UO₂)CH₃CO₂ (1) UO_2^{2+} + CH₃COOH \rightarrow No reaction (2)Nonetheless, UO22+ was extracted at concentrations 1 x 10^{-3} M – 1 x 10^{-1} M. The percentage abstraction (% A) chart of 200 ppm UO_2^{2+} from 1 x 10⁻² M SO₄²⁻ solutions of CH₃COOH into 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP in chloroform is presented in Figure 1. The gradient was statistically obtained as 1, indicating a displacement of 1 proton during the reaction. The percentage abstractions were 94. 71, 93.83, 92.07 and 40.97 % at concentrations 1 x 10⁻³, 5 x 10⁻³, 1 x 10⁻², 5 x 10⁻² and 1 x 10⁻¹ M respectively.

Figure 1: Percentage abstraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of CH₃COOH containing 1 x 10⁻² M SO_4^{2-}



May, Volume 10, Number 2, Pages 184 - 191 https://doi.org/10.5555/ZDZG8650 http://www.ijbst.fuotuoke.edu.ng/ 186 ISSN 2488-8648

Equation (3) represents the abstraction process of UO_2^{2+} from liquid media containing 5 x 10^{-2} M HTCP from various molar concentrations of CH₃COOH.

 $UO_2CH_3CO_2^{-}_{(aq)} + HTCP_{(or)} \leftrightarrow UO_2CH_3CO_2 (HTCP)_{(or)} + H^+_{(aq)}$ (3) The mole ratio interaction of the metal, and acid was 1:1. Equations (4) and (5) gave the distribution ratio (D) and the percentage abstractions (% A).

$$D = \frac{\text{Standard Absorbance - Raffinate Absorbance}}{\text{Raffinate Absorbance}}$$

% A = $\frac{\text{Standard Absorbance - Raffinate Absorbance \times 100}}{\text{Standard Absorbance}}$

The % A chart of 200 ppm UO_2^{2+} from 1 x 10⁻¹ M SO₄²⁻

solutions of CH₃COOH into 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP in

chloroform is presented in Figure 2. There was

abstraction at molar concentrations 1 x 10⁻³, 5 x 10⁻³

and 1 x 10⁻² M, but very minimal abstraction at

concentration 5 x 10^{-2} M and no extraction at concentrations 1 x 10^{-1} and 5 x 10^{-1} M. This result suggests that obstruction of acetate CH₃COO⁻ became pronounced as the molar concentration of CH₃COOH was greater than 1 x 10^{-2} M.

(4)

(5)



Figure 2: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of CH₃COOH containing 10⁻¹ M SO4²⁻

A gradient of 1 was also achieved suggesting the displacement of 1 proton in the course of the reaction. Percentage abstractions were 91.63, 85.46, and 74.89 % at 1×10^{-3} , 5×10^{-3} , and 1×10^{-2} M respectively. The % A chart of 200 ppm UO₂²⁺ from 1 M SO₄²⁻ solutions

of CH₃COOH into 5 x 10^{-2} M HTCP in chloroform is presented in Figure 3.

Results displayed in Figures 1, 2 and 3 suggest that the optimal % A of UO_2^{2+} decreased as the concentration of CH_3COO^- increased in the buffered phase



Figure 3: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of CH₃COOH in 1 M SO4²⁻

International Journal	of Basic Science	and Technology
------------------------------	------------------	----------------

May, Volume 10, Number 2, Pages 184 - 191 https://doi.org/10.5555/ZDZG8650

Interestingly, the results showed that abstraction of the UO_2^{2+} was better between CH₃COOH concentration 1 x 10^{-3} and 1 x 10^{-2} M, though the maximum % A of UO_2^{2+} reduced with an increase in the molar concentration of CH₃COO⁻ in the buffered phase. Furthermore, the CH₃COO⁻ ion concentrations ($10^{-2} - 1$ M) showed a gradient of 1, suggesting that 1 proton took part in the reaction. The result also suggests that distribution of the metal ion depends on the molar concentration of the acetate ions in the liquid phase. Method of gradient analysis of the abstracted metal ion was reported by Yang *et al.* (2013). Equations (6), (7) and (8) represent the interaction of the molecules.

$$n = \frac{d[\log D]}{d[\log HA]}$$
(6)

$$n = \frac{d[\log D]}{d[\log CH3COOH]}$$
(7)

$$n = \frac{d[\log D]}{d[\log M2+]}$$
(8)

Applying equation 7, the number of molecules, n, is 1; meaning 1 proton was released from $1 \times 10^{-3} - 1 \text{ M}$



CH₃COOH concentrations. Statistically, a mole of UO_2^{2+} reacted during the process. The result was comparable with what was reported by Rajan and Martell (1965). Thus, a complex, (UO₂)CH₃CO₂, was formed with a 1:1 ligand metal ratio.

HCI: Abstraction of UO_2^{2+} from 1 x 10^{-2} M SO₄²⁻ solutions of HCl showed UO_2^{2+} abstraction at concentrations 5 x $10^{-3} - 5$ x 10^{-1} M. Non-abstraction was ascribed to the formation of chloride-uranyl complex at these concentrations as shown in equation (9).

$$UO_2^{2+} + HCl \rightarrow (UO_2)Cl$$
 (9)

 $UO_2^{2+} + HCl \rightarrow No reaction$ (10)

The mole ratio interaction of the metal and acid was 1:1. Nonetheless, there was an optimal abstraction, 80.62%, of UO_2^{2+} at molar concentration 1 x 10^{-3} M as shown in Figure 4. A gradient of 1 was attributed to a displacement of 1 proton during the interaction.



Figure 4: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of HCl containing 10⁻² M SO4²⁻

Equation (11) represents the abstraction process of UO_2^{2+} from liquid media containing 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP from concentrations of HCl.

 $UO_2Cl^-(aq) + HTCP(or) \leftrightarrow UO_2Cl(HTCP)(or) + H^+(aq)$ (11)

Figure 5 presents the %A plot from molar concentrations of HCl containing 1×10^{-1} M SO₄²⁻. There was optimal abstraction of 77.97 % at molar concentration 1×10^{-3} M but no abstraction at

concentrations 5 x 10^{-3} – 5 x 10^{-1} M. This result indicates that abstraction of UO_2^{2+} was masked as Cl⁻ concentration in the liquid phase got greater than 1 x 10^{-2} M.



Figure 5: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻²M HTCP solutions of HCl containing 10⁻¹ M SO4²⁻

The % A chart of 200 ppm UO_2^{2+} from 1 M SO_4^{2-} solutions of HCl into 5 x 10^{-2} M HTCP in chloroform is presented in Figure 6. The % A of 38.77 % was recorded at molar concentration 1 x 10^{-3} M. A gradient of 1 was calculated. Figures 4, 5 and 6 indicate that the maximum % A of UO_2^{2+} decreased with increasing Cl⁻

in the buffered phase. The % A of UO_2^{2+} was optimal at 1 x 10⁻³ M hydrochloric acid. Bennett and Ayawei (2022) and Sangoremi *et al.* (2013) reported comparable results in their studies of the abstraction of UO_2^{2+} with the HTCP Schiff base.

188



Figure 6: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of HCl containing 1 M SO4²⁻

Applying equation 7, the three Cl⁻ ion concentrations, $1 \times 10^{-2} - 1$ M, all showed a gradient of 1, suggesting that 1 proton was released as UO₂Cl⁻ interacted with the ligand (HTCP). The results further suggest that the distribution of UO₂²⁺ is dependent on the amount of Cl⁻ in the liquid phase. Statistically, one mole of UO₂²⁺ reacted with one mole of the ligand, HTCP. A complex similar to (UO₂)Cl was formed during the interaction. An abstraction of 38.77 % was recorded at molar concentration 1 x 10⁻³ M as presented in Figure 6.

H₂SO_{4:}

The UO₂²⁺ abstraction from 1 x 10⁻² M SO₄²⁻ solutions of H₂SO₄ showed no abstraction of UO₂²⁺ at concentrations 5 x 10⁻³ M – 5 x 10⁻¹ M. This attributed to the formation of non-extractible uranyl- sulphate ((UO₂)SO₄) complex at these concentrations as shown in equations (12) and (13).

$$UO_2^{2+} + H_2SO_4 \rightarrow (UO_2)SO_4$$
 (12)

 UO_2^{2+} + H₂SO₄ \rightarrow No reaction (13) Figure 7 presents the % A chart of 200 ppm UO_2^{2+} at 1 x 10⁻² M SO₄²⁻ solutions of H₂SO₄ into 5 x 10⁻²M HTCP in chloroform. The % A was 52.86 % at concentration 1 x 10⁻³ M and a gradient of 2 was calculated, insinuating that 2 protons were displaced during the reaction process.



Figure 7: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of H₂SO₄ containing 10⁻² M SO₄²⁻

The reaction for the abstraction of UO_2^{2+} from 5 x 10^{-2} M HTCP in chloroform from H_2SO_4 is represented in equation (14).

 $UO_2SO_4^{2-}(aq) + HTCP(or) \leftrightarrow UO_2SO_4^{2-}(HTCP)(or) + 2H^+(aq)$ (14)

Acid: Uranium mole ratio interaction was 1:2. Figure 8 presents the % A chart of 200 ppm UO_2^{2+} from 1 x 10^{-1} M SO_4^{2-} solutions of H₂SO₄. There was abstraction at 1 x $10^{-3} - 1$ x 10^{-2} M, but no abstraction

from $5 \times 10^{-3} - 5 \times 10^{-1} M$, suggesting that interference of SO_4^{2-} in the abstraction of UO_2^{2+} became very pronounced as H_2SO_4 concentration got greater than 1 x $10^{-2} M$.



Figure 8: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻²M HTCP solutions of H₂SO₄ containing 10⁻¹M SO4²⁻

A gradient of 2 was achieved suggesting the displacement of 2 protons in the course of the reaction. The % A was 41.87 % at concentration 1×10^{-3} M. Figure 9 presents the % A chart of 200 ppm UO₂²⁺

from 1 M SO_4^{2-} solutions of H₂SO₄. No abstraction was obtained at any molar concentration as shown in Figures 7, 8 and 9.



Figure 9: Percentage extraction chart of UO2²⁺ from 5 x 10⁻² M HTCP solutions of H₂SO₄ containing 1 M SO4²⁻

May, Volume 10, Number 2, Pages 184 - 191 https://doi.org/10.5555/ZDZG8650

Interestingly, the results show that abstraction was optimal at sulphuric acid concentrations 1×10^{-3} , 1×10^{-2} and 1×10^{-1} M SO₄²⁻ ion concentrations, although the maximum % A of UO₂²⁺ decreased with increasing H₂SO₄ concentration in the buffered phase. 1×10^{-2} and 1×10^{-1} M SO₄²⁻ concentrations showed a gradient of 2, indicating that 2 protons were released when UO₂SO₄ and the ligand (HTCP) interacted. 1 M SO₄²⁻ ion concentration showed no abstraction at the concentrations studied as shown in Figure 9. This suggests that the abstraction of UO₂²⁺ from H₂SO₄ is more efficient at low molar concentrations (Sangoremi *et al.*, 2013).

Conclusion

In the abstraction study of UO_2^{2+} , the synergetic effect of three mineral acids, CH₃COOH, HCl and H₂SO₄ in HTCP in chloroform was examined. The study revealed that UO_2^{2+} was better abstracted at slight acid concentrations. Results obtained showed that the interference of chloride, acetate and sulphate ions was more obvious as the mineral acid concentrations got greater than 1 x 10⁻² M. There was an optimum % abstraction of 94. 71, 91.63 and 46.26 % from 1 x 10⁻³ M - 1 M CH₃COOH, and an optimum % abstraction of 80.62, 77.97, and 38.77 % at molar concentrations 1 x 10⁻³, 1 x 10⁻² and 1 M HCl.

A gradient of 1 was obtained with CH₃COOH and HCl, indicating a displacement of 1 proton during the reaction. An optimum % abstraction of 52.86 and 41.85 % at 1 x 10^{-3} M and 1 x 10^{-2} M H₂SO₄ was obtained. The calculated gradient was 2 at 1 x 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} M H₂SO₄, indicating that 2 protons were released during the reaction. 1 M SO₄²⁻ ion concentration showed no abstraction at the molar concentrations studied. Thus, results indicate that CH₃COOH, HCl and H₂SO₄ are the only efficient abstraction media for UO₂²⁺ at slight concentrations. The observed increase in % abstraction of UO₂²⁺ from mineral acids follows the sequence: CH₃COOH > HCl > H₂SO₄, indicating that removal of UO₂²⁺ decreased as the acid strength increased.

Acknowledgement: The authors wish to immensely acknowledge Mr. Bennett Purae Inengite for his unalloyed moral and emotional support during the cause of this research and late Prof. B.A. Uzoukwu, our MSc supervisor of the university of Port Harcourt for his unprecedented mentorship.

Funding: This research work received no financial aid of any form from any funding body or institution. Competing interests

Competing interests: None

References

Azra, N., Nazir, F., Roosh, M., Khalid, M. A., Mansoor, M. A., Khan, S. B. and Iqbal, M. (2022). Extraction of Pb (II) and Co (II) using *N*, *N*-dioctylsuccinamate based room temperature ionic liquids containing aliphatic and aromatic cations. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 15(9), 104099.

Bennett, V and Ayawei, N. (2022). Effect of HNO₃ on the Extraction of Uranium (VI) using 1-Phenyl-3methyl-4- trichloroacetyl pyrazolone-5 (HTCP) at Different Acid Concentrations. *Indian Journal of Advances in Chemical Science*, 10(3), 104-108.

Bennett, V., Amos-Tautua, B. M., Kemeakegha, A. J. and Ayawei, N. (2020). Effect of Sulphate Ion Concentration on the Extraction of Uranium (VI) From Buffered Solutions of 1-Phenyl-3-Methyl-4-Trichloroacetyl Pyrazolone-5. *World Journal of Innovative Research*, 9(5), 18-22.

Ebosie, N. P., Ogwuegbu, M. O. C., Onyedika, G. O., Fidelis, C. and Onwumere, F. C. (2021). Biological and analytical applications of Schiff base metal complexes derived from salicylidene-4-aminoantipyrine and its derivatives: a review. *Journal of the Iranian Chemical Society*, 18, 3145–3175.

George, K., Schweitzer, W. and van Willis. (1964). The influence of some masking agents on the solvent extraction of zinc oxinate. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 30: 114-118.

Godwin, J. and Bennett, V. (2022). Role of Acids in Multimetal Distributions Using 4,4'- (1E,1E')-1,1'-(ethane-1,2-diylbis(azan-1-YL-1ylidene)) bis (5methyl-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-3-ol)

(H2BuEtP). World Journal of Applied Chemistry, 7(3), 54-72.

Godwin, J. and Tella, L. S. (2017). 'Distribution of Cd (II) between Buffered Aqueous Solutions and Chloroform Solution of 4,4'-(IE, IE')-1,1'-(ethane-1,2-diylbis(azan-1-yl-iylidene)', *Anachem Journal*, 7(4), 2-23.

Godwin, J. and Uzoukwu B. A. (2012). Distribution of U(VI) from aqueous solutions into chloroform solution of N, N'- Ethylenebis (4-butanoyl-2,4-dihydro-5- methyl-2-phenyl-3-Hpyrazol-3-oneimine) Schiff base. *International Journal of Chemistry*, 4(4), 105-116.

Godwin, J. and Young, E. (2020). The Role of Some Common Anions in the Multi-Metal Extraction Using 4,4'- (1E,1E')-1,1'-(Ethane-1,2-Diylbis (Azan-1-YL-1Ylidene)) Bis (5-Methyl-2-Phenyl-2,3- Dihydro-1H-

May, Volume 10, Number 2, Pages 184 - 191 https://doi.org/10.5555/ZDZG8650

Pyrazol-3-OL) (H2BuEtP). *Multidisciplinary European Academic Journal*, 2(2), 1-19.

Hussain, Z., Yousif, E., Ahmed, A. and Altaie, A., 2014. Synthesis and characterization of Schiff's bases of sulfamethoxazole. *Org. Med. Chem. Lett*, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-2858-4-1

Jrose, P. N. (2001). Solvent extraction: Chemical separation. *Journal of analytical chemistry*, 45(12), 312.

Khoutoul, M., Lamsayah, M., Al-blewi, F. F., Rezki, N., Aouad, M. R., Mouslim, M. and Touzani, R. (2016). Liquid–liquid extraction of metal ions, DFT and TD-DFT analysis of some 1,2,4-triazole Schiff Bases with high selectivity for Pb(II) and Fe(II). *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 1113 (5), 99-107.

<u>Kołodyńska</u> D. (2013). Application of a new generation of complexing agents in removal of heavy metal ions from different wastes. *Environmental science and pollution Research International*, 20(9), 5939-4949.

Meena, R., Meena, P., Kumari, A., Sharma, N. and Fahmi, N. (2023). Schiff Bases and Their Metal Complexes: Synthesis, Structural Characteristics and Applications. Schiff Bases in Organic, Inorganic and Physical Chemistry Edited by Takashiro Akitsu. DOI:10.5772/intechopen.108396

Mhaske, A. and Dhadke, P. (2001). Liquid-Liquid Extraction and Separation of Rhodium (III) from other Platinum Group Metals with CYANEX 925', Separation Science and Technology. *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 36(14), 3253 – 3265.

Murdoch, M. (2010). "The chemistry of the solvent extraction of the major metals: An overview of Solvent extraction of some major metal". Journal of analytical Chem, 52(9), 3-10.

Nugraha, W. C., Elishian, C. and Ketrin, R. (2017). Determination of total arsenic in fish by hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry: method validation, traceability and uncertainty evaluation. IOP Conference Series: *Earth and Environmental Science*, 60, 012036.

Okafor, E. C. and <u>Uzoukwu</u> B. A. (1990). Extraction of Fe(III) and U(VI) with 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4-acyl-pyrazolones-5 from Aqueous Solutions of Different Acids and Complexing Agents. Separation of Fe(III) from U(VI). *Radiochimica Acta*, 51(4), 167-172.

Rajan, K. S. and Martell, A. E. (1965). Equilibrium Studies of Uranyl Complexes. III. Interaction of http://www.ijbst.fuotuoke.edu.ng/ ISSN 2488-8648

Uranyl Ion with Citric Acid. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 4(4), 462–469.

Rashid, M. and Ejaz, M., 1985. Liquid-liquid partition of cobalt between trilaurylamine N-oxide and aqueous thiocyanate solutions. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 89 (2), 399-408.

Sangoremi, A., Godwin J. and Uzuokwu B. A. (2013). Effect of SO42- concentration on Ni (II) extractions from aqueous solution using 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-Trichloroacetylpyrazon-5-one in chloroform. *European Chemical Bulletin*, 2 (2), 68 – 71.

Silva, J.E., Paiva A.P., Soares, D., Labrincha, A. and Castro, F. (2005). Solvent extraction applied to the recovery of heavy metals from galvanic sludge. *Journal of Hazardous Materials B*, 120, 113–118.

Singh, B. (2002). Liquid-Liquid Extraction Principle |Liquid-Liquid Extraction Applications HomeL aboratory Equipment's

https://www.chemicalslearning.com/2022/06/liquidliquid-extraction.html accessed 10/04/2024.

Watanabe, K., Tanaka, T., Iburaim, A. and Itagaki, M. (2001). Effects of Masking Agents on the Separation of Copper (II) from Iron (III) by Continuous Solvent Extraction with 8-Hydroxyquinoline. *Analytical Sciences*, 17(5), 671-4.

Yang, F., Kamiya, N. and Goto, M. (2013). A Comparative Study of Ionic Liquids and a Conventional Organic Solvent on the Extraction of Rare-earth Ions with TOPO. *Solvent Extraction Research and Development*, 20, 225 – 232.

Zoubi, W. A., 2013. Solvent extraction of metal ions by use of Schiff bases. *Journal of Coordination Chemistry*, 66 (13), 2264-2289.

Zoubi, W. A., Kandil, F. and Chebani, M. K., (2016). Solvent extraction of chromium and copper using Schiff base derived from terephthaldialdehyde and 5amino-2-methoxy-phenol. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 9(4), 526-531

Zyadanogullari, B., Cevizic, D., Temel, H. and Synthesis, Zyadanogullari, R. (2008). Characterization and Structure Effects on the Preconcentration and Extraction of N. Nbis(Salicylaldehyde)-1, 4-bis(p-aminophenoxy) butane towards divalent cations. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 150, 285 – 289.