



Status and Distribution of Available Micro-nutrients in Soils of NIFOR Main Station

^{1*}Osayande P. E., ²Omoruyi E., ¹Ovie S., ³Aondona O. and ⁴Ogbebor C. O.

¹Soil and Land Management Division, Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR), Edo State, Nigeria

²Central Analytical Laboratory, Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR), Edo State, Nigeria

³Agronomy Division, Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR), Edo State, Nigeria

⁴Entomology Division, Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR), Edo State, Nigeria

Article Information

Article history:

Received: 14 March, 2016

Accepted: 23 July, 2016

Available online:

25 October, 2016

Keywords:

Critical levels,
Micronutrients,
NIFOR,
Physico-chemical properties

Abstract

Status and distribution of available micronutrients in soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR) main station was studied. Two locations; a poorly drained Inland Valley and well drained Upland to cover the extensive variations in soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR) were chosen for the study. Twenty four soil samples were obtained from twelve profile pits dug at the two locations, air dried and sieved with a 2 – mm sieve and analyzed for micronutrients (Zn, Cu, Fe, Cl and Mn) and some selected physico-chemical properties in the laboratory using standard methods. The results indicated that Copper (Cu) and Manganese (Mn) were higher at the poorly drained Inland Valley when compared to the well-drained Upland soils. Mean Copper values at the top and sub soils of the Inland Valley and Upland were 2.85 mg/kg and 2.51 mg/kg and 1.65 mg/kg and 1.37 mg/kg respectively while mean Manganese values at the top and sub soils of the Inland Valley and Upland soils were 3.51 mg/kg and 3.17 mg/kg and 2.84 mg and 2.97 mg/kg respectively. Mean Zinc values of both locations were below the established critical levels, indicating that Zinc was deficient in soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research. There was relatively lack of correlation between the micro nutrients and physico-chemical properties of soils of both locations. Deliberate application of micronutrients in inorganic forms coupled with Composted Empty Fruit Bunches to soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research is suggested as possible ways of improving the micronutrient contents of the soils.

*Corresponding author: Osayande P. E.; prefosa2001@yahoo.co.uk

Introduction

Soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR) main station are developed on Coastal Plain Sand Parent materials. These soils are highly weathered with low nutrient status making fertilizer application inevitable (Osayande *et al.*, 2013). The soils consist of low activity clays (LAC) (NIFOR 1982) with great affinity for phosphorus sorption (Ataga and Omoti, 1978), high exchangeable acidity with a corresponding low exchangeable bases, hence the term acid sands (NIFOR, 1982).

Records have shown that fertilizer application to soils of NIFOR main station have been in the form of macro nutrients such as NPK 15:15:15 or the more robust NPK 12:12:17:2 (Okpamen *et al.*, 2012). The implication is that the micronutrients are often not replenished. For soil fertility maintenance to be sustainable, nutrient inputs to soils must include both macro and micro nutrients (Osemwota *et al.*, 2000; Osayande *et al.*, 2012; Osayande *et al.*, 2014). A practice that involves the replenishment of macro nutrients only tends to increase soil pH. Accordingly, studies on soils of NIFOR main station have shown an increase in soil pH with time (Okpamen *et al.*, 2012), though most commonly reported is the increase in soil pH with depth (Aghimien, Udo and Ataga, 1988; Osayande *et al.*, 2013). Increases in soil pH have been reported to affect the availability of micronutrients (Akpohonor and Agbaire, 2009). As observed by Mckenzie (2003), the availability of the nutrients Manganese (Mn), Iron (Fe), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn) and Boron (B) tend to decrease as soil pH increases. The exact mechanisms responsible for reducing availability differ for each nutrient but may include formation of low solubility compounds, greater retention by soil colloids and conversion of soluble forms to ions that plants cannot absorb (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). In Nigeria, micro nutrient deficiencies are becoming widespread (Chude *et al.*, 1985; Akinrinde *et al.*, 2005; Orhue *et al.*, 2015).

This present study seeks to provide information on the status and distribution of micro nutrients in an inland valley and adjacent upland soils at NIFOR main station. The soils of the NIFOR Inland Valley with moderate management practices according to

Osayande (2013) have the potential to support *Raphia* palms (*Raphia spp*) cultivation due to its constant inundation with water.

Materials and methods

Study area

The Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR) is located on Latitude 06°33'N and Longitude 05°37' with an altitude of 149.4m ASL. It is located in the rain forest zone of Nigeria characterized by rainy season (February / March-November) and dry season (November - February/March. There is a short break in the rains in August, known as 'August break'. Rainfall ranges from 1500 mm to 2135 mm while minimum and maximum temperature ranges are between 21°C to 31°C with a mean annual temperature of 25°C. Four soil series of Acid Sands have been classified at NIFOR main station with their prominent clay type being kaolinite. They are Ahiara, Kulfo, Orlu and Alagba series (NIFOR, 1982). Soils of the Inland Valley are Ahiara series while those of the upland soils are Orlu series.

Soil sampling and Laboratory analysis

Two locations were chosen for the study; the poorly drained Inland Valley adjacent to the river Okhuo and well drained Upland environment. This was done to ensure that the study covered the extensive environmental variations in soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR). Twelve profile pits were sunk in two locations and soil samples were collected from the top and sub soil horizon of the profile pits with the aid of a tubular auger, making a total of twenty four samples. The samples were bagged in polyethylene bags and accurately labelled for transportation to the laboratory. In the laboratory, the soil samples were air-dried and sieved with a 2 mm sieve and analysis on micronutrient status and physico-chemical properties of the soils were done as follows: Micronutrients (Copper, Zinc, Manganese and Iron) were determined by extracting the soil in 1% EDTA, the filtrate was aspirated into an air-acetylene flame of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer and Cu was read at 324.7 nm; Zn was read at 213.9 nm; Mn was read at 279.5 nm; Fe was read at

248.3 nm while soluble chloride in soil was obtained by silver nitrate titration, Okalebo *et al.*, (1993). Soil pH was determined in a 1:1 soil to water and soil to KCl suspensions using a pH meter (Hendershot *et al.*, 1993). Particle size was determined by the

hydrometer method (Gee and Or, 2002). Soil organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black method (Nelson and Sommer, 1996).

Table 1: Field morphological descriptions of typical (normal) NIFOR soils

| Profile number and soil series | Horizon | Depth (cm) | Colour (moist) | Boundary* | Structure** | Texture*** |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 5 Ahiara Series (normal) | A11 | 0-11 | 7.5YR3/4 | a,w | 1,c,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | A12 | 11-36 | 5YR3/4 | c,w | 1,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B21 | 36-70 | 5YR3/3 | d,w | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | B22 | 70-104 | 5YR3/3 | d,w | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | B23 | 104-136 | 5YR3/3 | d,w | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | B24 | 136-174 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,w | 1,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B25 | 174-200 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,w | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| 10 Kulfo Series (normal) | A11 | 0-12 | 10YR3/2 | c,w | 1,c,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | A12 | 12-40 | 7.5YR3/4 | d,s | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | A13 | 40-78 | 7.5YR3/4 | d,s | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | B21 | 78-105 | 5YR3/4 | d,s | 1,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B22 | 105-135 | 5YR4/6 | d,s | 1,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B23 | 135-163 | 5YR5/6 | d,s | 1,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B24 | 163-200 | 2.5YR5/6 | - | 2,m,sb | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| 12 Orlu Series (normal) | A11 | 0-24 | 7.5YR3/4 | c,s | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | A12 | 24-55 | 2.5YR3/4 | c,s | 2,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B21t | 55-88 | 2.5YR3/6 | c,s | 2,m,sb | Very clayey Sand (SCIL) |
| | B22t | 88-126 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,s | 2,m,sb | Very clayey Sand (SCIL) |
| | B24t | 126-154 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,s | 2,m,sb | Very clayey Sand (SCIL) |
| | B24t | 154-200 | 2.5YR3/6 | - | 2,m,sb | Very clayey Sand (SCIL) |
| 6 Alagba Series (normal) | A11 | 0-7 | 7.5YR3/4 | c,s | 1,m,g | Slightly clayey sand (LS) |
| | A12 | 7-21 | 5YR3/4 | d,s | 2,m,g | Clayey Sand (SL) |
| | B21t | 21-40 | 2.5YR4/4 | d,s | 2,m,sb | Very clayey Sand (SCIL) |
| | B22t | 40-67 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,s | 2,m,sb | Very clayey Sand (SCIL) |
| | B23t | 67-105 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,s | 2,m,sb | Sandy clay (SCI) |
| | B24t | 105-136 | 2.5YR3/6 | d,s | 1,c,sb | Sandy clay (SCI) |
| | B25t | 136-200 | 2.5YR3/6 | - | 1,c,sb | Sandy clay (SCI) |

*a = abrupt, w = wavy, c = clear, d = diffuse, s = smooth

**1 = weak, 2 = Moderate, c = coarse, m = medium, g = granular, sb = subangular blocky

*** LS = Loamy sand, SL = Sandy loam, SCIL = Sandy clay loam, SCI = Sandy clay

Source: NIFOR, 1982.

Data analysis

Data obtained from the laboratory were subjected to One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Least Significance Difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability was used to separate the means of both the micro nutrients and the selected physico-chemical properties.

Results and Discussion

Morphological description of NIFOR soils

The morphological descriptions of soils of NIFOR main station are shown in Table 1. NIFOR soils being acid sands are similar in physical characteristics. The structures are either weak or strong while the texture on examination between the thumb and forefinger range from slightly clayey sand to clayey sand in the four soil series. The boundaries are typical of tropical soils in being abrupt, wavy or diffuse. The clear and smooth boundaries observed in some of the soils probably revealed the highly weathered nature of the soils.

Physical and chemical properties of NIFOR soils

Some physical and chemical properties of NIFOR soils are indicated in Table 2. Soil pH (H₂O) indicated a slight acidity in soils of the Inland valley with mean soil pH of 5.63 and 5.70 at the

top and sub soils of the NIFOR Inland Valley. Soil pH in (KCl) was lower than the values determined in water. Δ pH indicated a net negative charge in the soils exchange complex of soils of both locations (Table 2). This is consistent with the findings of Jailson *et al.*, (2014). According to Jailson *et al.*, (2014), negative values of Δ pH indicate the predominance of negative electric charges in soils exchange complex. Upland soils had moderate soil acidity with mean soil pH (H₂O) of 6.13 and 6.26 at the top and sub soils (Table 2). Soil pH (KCl) at the top and sub soils was lower than the values determined in water in both locations. Soil pH (H₂O) and soil pH (KCl) were lower in soils of the Inland Valley when compared with upland soils. This could be due to water submergence which increases the rate of weathering and leaching of basic components in soils. Organic carbon was higher at the top soil of soils of the Inland valley when compared to upland soils but increased with increasing soil depth, a phenomenon referred to as lithological discontinuity by Aghimien *et al.*, (1988) as cited by Osayande *et al.*, (2013). In Upland soils, Organic carbon decreased with increasing soil depth and was lower than the critical level of between 20 - 30 g/kg in soils as reported by Enwezor *et al.*, (1989).

Table 2: Some physical and chemical properties of soils supporting *Raphia* palms at NIFOR main station

| Location/ Depth (cm) | pH (H ₂ O) | pH (KCl) | ΔpH | Organic Carbon (g/kg) | Sand (g/kg) | Silt (g/kg) | Clay (g/kg) | ECEC (cmol/kg) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Inland Valley | | | | | | | | |
| 0-15 | 5.63 | 4.96 | -0.73 | 13.30 | 947.50 | 19.30 | 33.20 | 2.18 |
| 90-120 | 5.70 | 4.70 | -0.10 | 16.30 | 946.70 | 23.70 | 29.70 | 2.19 |
| LSD | Ns | Ns | 0.40 | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns |
| Upland | | | | | | | | |
| 0-15 | 6.13 | 5.25 | -0.88 | 7.83 | 942.50 | 20.70 | 36.80 | 1.99 |
| 90-120 | 6.26 | 5.32 | -0.95 | 5.27 | 910.00 | 23.20 | 66.80 | 3.29 |
| LSD | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | 17.79 | Ns | 19.06 | 0.25 |

Table 3: Concentration of Micro Nutrients (mg/kg) soils supporting *Raphia* palms at NIFOR

| Depth (cm) | Zn | Cu | Fe | Cl | Mn |
|----------------------|------|------|------|--------|------|
| Inland valley | | | | | |
| 0-15 | 2.50 | 2.85 | 1.16 | 663.00 | 3.51 |
| 90-120 | 1.83 | 2.51 | 1.42 | 308.00 | 3.17 |
| LSD (0.05) | Ns | Ns | Ns | 205.40 | Ns |
| Upland | | | | | |
| 0-15 | 2.26 | 1.65 | 2.41 | 450.00 | 2.84 |
| 90-120 | 1.75 | 1.37 | 2.97 | 379.00 | 2.97 |
| LSD (0.05) | Ns | Ns | Ns | ns | Ns |

ns = non significant

Micro-nutrient status of soils of NIFOR main station

The available micro nutrient status of soils of NIFOR main station is shown in Table 3. At NIFOR Inland Valley, Zinc, Copper, Manganese and Chloride decreased with increased soil depth while Iron increased with increased soil depth. In comparison with the well-drained Upland soils, Zinc, Copper and Chloride had the same trend as the poorly drained Inland Valley soils which suggests that occasional water submergence of soils of the NIFOR Inland Valley did not influence the trend of these micro nutrients in soils of NIFOR main station. Comparatively, Iron and Manganese of Upland soils had the same trend as Iron of soils of the Inland Valley of increasing with increasing soil depth Table 3). Zinc values at the top and sub soils of both locations were below the critical level of 3.0 mg/kg reported by Pam (1990). The low Zinc contents of soils of NIFOR main station may be attributed to the tendency of Zinc to be adsorbed on the clay-sized particles as earlier reported by Alloway (2008). This is also consistent with the findings of Orhue *et al.*, (2015) who reported a

low Zinc status of the Basement Complex soils of Edo state. Extractable Cu at the top and sub soils of both locations fell within reported critical levels of 1.0 mg/kg – 3.0 mg/kg as reported by Deb and Sakal (2002). This was however not consistent with earlier findings by Sillanpaa (1982) and later Orhue *et al.*, (2015) who reported Cu deficiencies in the top soils in his global study of soil micronutrients and top soils of basement Complex soils of Edo state respectively. The extractable Cu contents were higher at the Inland Valley than at the Upland soils. Water submergence may have influenced the extractable Cu content of soils of the Inland Valley. Osayande (2013) observed that the NIFOR Inland Valley had higher contents of weatherable minerals than the adjacent Upland soils. This was attributed to the continuous transportation of new materials by water at the NIFOR Inland Valley. This assertion is buttressed by the fact that Cu content of soils is influenced by the soils parent materials as observed by Olowolafe, Owonubi and Omueti, (2012).

Table 4: Correlation coefficient (r) between selected physico-chemical properties and micronutrients of Inland valley soils of NIFOR

| | Zn | Cu | Fe | Cl | Mn |
|----------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Soil pH | 0.209 | 0.285 | -0.116 | -0.164 | 0.325 |
| Organic carbon | 0.383 | -0.266 | -0.405 | 0.046 | -0.314 |
| Total N | 0.566 | -0.535 | 0.267 | 0.268 | -0.314 |
| Available P | 0.494 | -0.211 | -0.343 | 0.153 | -0.268 |
| K | 0.108 | -0.255 | -0.146 | 0.059 | -0.046 |
| Ca | 0.139 | -0.410 | -0.301 | 0.255 | 0.117 |
| Mg | -0.066 | -0.147 | 0.558 | -0.093 | 0.061 |
| S | 0.009 | -0.526 | 0.281 | -0.041 | -0.546 |
| ECEC | 0.183 | -0.013 | -0.144 | 0.355 | 0.253 |
| Sand | 0.389 | -0.601* | -0.043 | 0.053 | -0.423 |
| Silt | 0.130 | -0.319 | 0.040 | -0.562 | -0.478 |
| Clay | -0.282 | 0.574 | 0.012 | 0.226 | 0.523 |

* Correlation significant at 0.05 level

Table 5: Correlation coefficient (r) between selected physico-chemical properties and micronutrients of Upland soils of NIFOR

| | Zn | Cu | Fe | Cl | Mn |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Soil pH | -0.466 | -0.347 | 0.656* | -0.451 | -0.359 |
| Organic carbon | 0.312 | 0.084 | -0.411 | 0.053 | -0.166 |
| Total N | 0.354 | 0.115 | -0.462 | 0.140 | -0.106 |
| Available P | 0.047 | -0.238 | 0.154 | -0.439 | 0.005 |
| K | 0.525 | -0.189 | 0.126 | 0.071 | -0.358 |
| Ca | -0.406 | -0.037 | 0.570 | -0.349 | 0.076 |
| Mg | -0.005 | -0.242 | 0.389 | -0.056 | 0.071 |
| S | 0.284 | -0.258 | 0.008 | 0.083 | -0.355 |
| ECEC | -0.335 | -0.162 | 0.592* | -0.254 | 0.039 |
| Sand | 0.245 | 0.305 | -0.408 | 0.251 | 0.166 |
| Silt | 0.035 | -0.297 | 0.101 | 0.090 | -0.083 |
| Clay | -0.278 | -0.252 | 0.419 | -0.301 | -0.159 |

* Correlation significant at 0.05 level

Manganese had the same trend as Copper in both locations in being higher at the Inland Valley than at the Upland. Mean Mn values were above the critical level of 1.0 – 4.0 mg/kg Sims and Johnson, (1991) at the top and sub soils of both locations. This means that soils of NIFOR main station are sufficient in Manganese. This is consistent with the findings of Osemwota *et al.*, (2000) who reported that some Ultisols of Edo state appeared to be adequately supplied with Manganese. Iron content of the top and sub soils of the NIFOR Inland Valley was below the critical level of 2.5 - 5.8 mg/kg as observed by Deb and Sakal (2002). The sub soils of Upland soils with a mean of 2.97 mg/kg fell within the critical level of 2.5 -5.8 mg/kg Iron. This is consistent with earlier findings by Orhue *et al.*, (2015) who reported that the soils of the basement complex in derived savanna of Edo state were rich in iron. Mean chloride content was higher at the top soil of the Inland Valley than at the top soil of Upland soils. Though chloride is not normally toxic in soil, even in excess amounts, except when excess amounts of sodium or sodic ions are present (Dang *et al.*, 2006). There is however little or no information on the chloride content of Nigerian soils (Osayande *et al.*, 2012). The relationship between the micronutrients and soils physicochemical properties of both locations is depicted in Tables 4 and 5. At the Inland Valley, Cu was only negatively significantly correlated with sand with $r = -0.601$, $P < 0.05$ (Table 4) while in Upland soils, Fe was positively significantly correlated with soil pH and ECEC with ($r = 0.656$, $P < 0.05$) and ($r = 0.592$, $P < 0.05$) respectively (Table 5). This low correlation between micronutrients and soils physicochemical properties were also observed by Akporhonor and Agbaire (2009).

Conclusion

This study has revealed that micro nutrients deficiencies with respect to Copper and Zinc exists in soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research main station. The contents of Copper and Manganese were higher at the poorly drained Inland Valley than in the Upland soils. The low correlation of micronutrients with physico-chemical properties of soils of NIFOR main station indicated an urgent need to manage the soils organic matter of NIFOR soils that are declining at an accelerated rate. There is also an urgent need to deliberately apply micronutrients to soils of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR) main station.

References

Aghimien A. E., Udo E. J., and Ataga D. O. (1988). Profile distribution of forms of Iron and aluminium in the hydromorphic soils of Southern Nigeria. *Journal of West African Science association* 31: 57 – 70.

Akinrinde E. A., Obigbesan G. O. and Ojanuga A. O. (2005). Extractable micronutrients in relation to physicochemical properties of soils in four ecological zones in Nigeria. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sci* (II), 2: 197 - 200

Akporhonor E. E. and Agbaire P. O. (2009). Physicochemical properties and micronutrient status of farmland soils in Abraka, Nigeria. *African journal of pure and applied chemistry*. 3 (7): 131 – 134.

Alloway, B. T. (2008). *Zinc in soils and crop nutrition*. International Fertilizer Industry Association and International Zinc Association, Brussels, Belgium and Paris. PP 135.

Ataga D.O. and Omoti U. (1978). Phosphate sorption characteristics and the use of sorption isotherms for evaluating the phosphate requirements of the Oil Palm on some acid soils. *Journal of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research*, 5(20): 36 - 41

Chude V.O., Obigbesan G. O. and Egbe N. E. (1985). Micronutrient status of selected cocoa growing soils in Southwestern Nigeria. Profile distribution of total and available zinc. *Canadian Journal of Soil Sci* 65 (1): 21 – 24.

Dang YP, Dalal RC, Routley R, Schwenke GD, Daniells I (2006). Subsoil constraints to grain production in the cropping soils of the north-eastern region of Australia: an overview. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 45, 19 - 35.

Deb D. L. and Sakal, R. (2002) *Micronutrients*. In Indian Society of Soil Science. Indian Research Institute, New Delhi, PP 391 – 403.

Enwezor W. O., Udo, B. J., Usoroh, N. J., Ayotade, K. A., Adepetu, J. A., Chude, V. A. and Udegbe, C. A. 1989. *Fertilizer use and management for crops in Nigeria Series 2*, pp163.

Gee, G.W. and Or, D. (2002). Particle size distribution. In: Dane J.H and Topp. G.C. (eds). *Methods of soil Analysis*. Part 4, Physical methods. Soil Sci. Am Book Series No.5, ASA and SSSA, Madison, W.I. PP 255 - 293.

Jailson C. C., Hugo A. R., Maria B. G. dos Santos F., Victor H. A. and Raphael B. A. F. (2014). Quantification of permanent and variable charges in reference soils of the state of Pernambuco, Brazil. *R. Bras. Ci Solo*, 38: 1162 - 1169

Lindsay W. L. and Norvell W. A. (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for Zinc, Iron, Manganese and Copper. *Soil Sci Am J*. 42: 421 – 28.

Mckenzie R. H. (2003). Soil and plant nutrients. *Agriculture, Food and Rural Development*. PP 1 – 4.

Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommer (1996). Total carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed), *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Part 3. SSSA Book Series No. 5 SSSA Madison, WI, PP: 960-1010

NIFOR (1982). *Nineteenth Annual Report*, NIFOR, Benin City Nigeria publications Okalebo, J. R.Gathua, K.W. and Woomer

- P.L. 1993. Laboratory methods of soil and plant analysis: A working manual. TSBF, Nairobi, Kenya. 88p
- Okpamen S. U. Uwumarongie-Ilori E. G., Orhue E. R., Sulaiman-Ilobu B. B., Eneje R. C. and Efétie-Osiele A. (2012). Influence of climatic factors on soil reaction, nutrient application and yield outputs of Oil palm. *International research journal of plant science* 3 (10): 216 – 221
- Olowolafe E. A., Owonubi A. and Omuetti J. A. I. (2012). Distribution of copper and zinc in soils derived from volcanic ash parent materials on the Jos Plateau. *Nigerian Journal of soil science* 22 (2): 239 - 247
- Orhue E. R., Ekuase H. O., Atere O. O. and Eze U. E. (2015). Status of some plant nutrients of basement complex soils in derived savanna in Edo state, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 11 (1): 33 – 37.
- Osayande, P. E. Oviasogie P. O. Awanlemhen, B. E. and Orhue E. R. (2014). Soil fertility assessment of a Shea (*Vitellaria paradoxa* C.F. Gaertn) field at Bida, Niger State. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science* 24 (1): 117-124
- Osayande P. E. (2013). Selected physico-chemical properties of soils supporting *Raphia* palms (*Raphia spp*) in Edo and Delta States of Nigeria. M. Sc. Thesis, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria. PP 98.
- Osayande P.E., Oviasogie P.O., Aisueni N.O., Stephen O., Irhemu P and Ekebafé M.O. (2013). Assessment of Dithionite and Oxalate Extractable Iron and Aluminium Oxides in Soils Supporting *Raphia* palms (*Raphia spp*) at NIFOR Main Station. *Nigerian Journal of soil science*. 23 (2):1-10.
- Osayande P. E., Oviasogie P. O., Orhue E. R. and Aghimien A. E. (2012). Micro nutrient status of soils of the fresh water swamp supporting *Raphia hookeri* (G. Mann and H. Wendl) cultivation at Otegbo, Delta state. *Journal of sustainable environmental management* 4: 1 - 9.
- Osemwota I.O.; Edosomwan N.L. and Okpefa G. (2000). Profile distribution of available micronutrients in some ultisols of Edo State of Nigeria. *The Nigerian Journal of agriculture and Forestry (NJAF)*. 1 (1): 61-70.
- Pam, S. G. (1990). Correlation and calibration studies for Zn recommendation on maize (*Zea mays* L.) in some upland soils of Northern Nigeria. M. Sc., Faculty of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. PP 127.
- Sillanpaa, M. (1982). Micronutrient and nutrient status of soils. A global study. FAO *Soils Bulletin* No 48. FAO Rome Italy.
- Sims, J. T. and Johnson, G. V. (1991). *Micronutrient Soil Test*. In J. J. Mortvedt, F. R. Cox, L.M. Shuman and R. M. Welch (eds) *Micronutrients in Agriculture* 2nd (ed) Soil Science of American Book series 4 Madison, Wisconsin U.S.